

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/337,500	06/22/1999	TOMOHISA YAMAGUCHI	2565-0175P	9078
75	590 09/17/2003			
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch Post Office Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, THU HA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathcal{L}$		
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/337,500	YAMAGUCHI, TOMOHISA		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	T. 10411 NO DATE 5111	Thu Ha T. Nguyen	2155		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
l	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachmen					
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 16		

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 11, 13, 15 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tock U.S. Patent No. 5,815,718, in view of Domenikos et al., (hereinafter Domenikos) U.S. Patent No. 5,838,910.
- 3. Referring to Claim 1, Tock discloses a system of dynamic module configuration which is linked through a network comprising: a memory, linked to the network, for memorizing a plurality of function executing modules which execute specific processes (Figure 1 Item 110 and Col. 11 Lines 37-42); a request device, located on said network remotely from said memory (figure 1, client 102 located remotely from memory 110), which outputs an execution request for executing one of the specific processes (Figure 1 Item 102, Col. 3 lines 52-55); and an execution device for receiving, through the network, the execution request output from the request device (abstract, col. 3 lines 46-49), acquiring, through the network, one

Application/Control Number: 09/337,500 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

of the plurality of function executing modules which has a function of realizing the execution request from the memory, executing the acquired function execution module (Col. 5 lines 20-25) and providing a result of the execution of the function execution module to the request device (figures 1, 2, col. 1 lines 40-col. 2 lines 11 col. 3 lines 53-col. 4 lines 44). However, Tock does not explicitly teach an execution device located on said network remotely from said memory and said request device. Domenikos teaches an execution device located on said network remotely from said memory and said request device (abstract, figures 1-4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tock and Domenikos to have an execution device located on said network remotely from said memory and said request device because it would increase the speed of execution of application remotely and reduce the storing load on server.

4. Referring to Claim 3 and 13, Tock discloses a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 1, wherein the execution device stores the acquired function execution module after the acquired function execution module has been executed (Col. 3, lines 14-17), and re-executes the acquired function execution module stored in the execution device when it is requested to execute a module having a function corresponding to the acquired function execution module.
(Random access memory, as known in the art, is organized and controlled in a

Art Unit: 2155

way that enables data to be stored and retrieved quickly by the computer's processor.)

- 5. Referring to Claim 5, Tock discloses a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 1, wherein the request device and the memory are installed in a device (Figure 1 Item 100 and Item 102).
- 6. Referring to Claim 6, Tock discloses a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 1, wherein the request device is a client which outputs a contents request corresponding to the execution request (Figure 1 Item 102 and Col. 3 lines 52-55), the execution device is a server which receives the contents request and responds to the contents request (Figure 1 Item 104 and Col. 4 lines 1-6), and the memory is a module storing server which stores the plurality of function executing modules for responding to the contents request (Figure 1 Item 128 and Col. 11 lines 37-42).
- 7. Referring to Claim 11, Tock discloses a dynamic module configuration method using a network comprising the steps of: storing in a memory a plurality of function executing modules for executing specific processes (abstract, col. 11 Lines 37-42); outputting, by a request device through the network, an execution request for executing one of the specific processes; and receiving, by an execution device, the execution request through the network, acquiring, through

Art Unit: 2155

the network, one of the plurality of function executing modules from the memory which has a function of realizing the execution, executing the acquired function execution module and providing a result of the execution of the function execution module to the request device (abstract, figures 1, 2, col. 1 lines 40-col. 2 lines 11, col. 3 lines 46-col. 4 lines 65 and col. 5 lines 20-25). However, Tock does not explicitly teach an execution device located on said network remotely from said memory and said request device. Domenikos teaches an execution device located on said network remotely from said memory and said request device (abstract, figures 1-4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tock and Domenikos to have an execution device located on said network remotely from said memory and said request device because it would increase the speed of execution of application remotely and reduce the storing load on server

8. Referring to Claim 15, Tock discloses a system of dynamic module configuration comprising: an internal resource of a device for performing an original function of the device (Col. 11 Lines 37-42); and an execution device for receiving an execution request, through the network, which requests a performance of a function of the device, acquiring, from an external resource, one of a plurality of function execution modules which has a function of realizing the execution request, and executing the acquired function execution module, wherein the receiving, acquiring and executing are performed by using a part of the internal

Art Unit: 2155

resource and wherein an executed result is obtained from executing the function execution module and the result is provided to the device (abstract, figures 1, 2, Col. 2 lines 53-57 and 65-67, col. 3 lines 53-col. 4 lines 65, col. 5 lines 20-25).

- 9. Referring to Claim 16, Tock discloses a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 15, wherein the internal resource includes a central processing unit and a memory (Figure 1 Item 110 and 112), the execution device includes a program stored in the memory and executed by the central processing unit (Figure 1 Item 100), and the external resource includes a memory, being independent of the device, for memorizing the plurality of function execution modules (Figure 1 Item 108).
- 10. Referring to claim 17, Tock does not explicitly teach the external resource is located remotely on said network from said execution device. However, Domenikos teaches the external resource is located remotely on said network from said execution device (abstract, figures 1-4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tock and Domenikos to have the external resource is located remotely from said execution device because it would have an efficient communications system that can increase the speed of execution of application remotely and reduce the storing load on server.

Art Unit: 2155

11. Referring to claim 18, Tock teaches the invention substantially as claimed. including a method of providing execution module instructions to plural of operation devices on a network, comprising the steps of: storing plural diverse execution modules in a memory, each of said execution modules containing a set of instructions usable by an operational device (abstract, figures 1-2, col. 11 lines 37-42); requesting an action by a request device to be performed by a selected operational device which is achieved through a set of instructions contained in a requested execution module (Figure 1 Item 102, Col. 3 lines 52-55); acquiring said requested execution module by said selected operational device from said memory, said operational device executing said set of instructions contained in said requested execution module to perform the requested action (figures 1, 2, col. 1 lines 40-col. 2 lines 11 col. 3 lines 46-col. 4 lines 44, col. 5 lines 20-25). However, Tock does not explicitly teach an operation device is located on said network remotely from said memory. Domenikos teaches an operational device is located on said network remotely from said memory (abstract, figures 1-4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tock and Domenikos to have an operational device is located on said network remotely from said memory and said request device because it would increase the speed of execution of application remotely and reduce the storing load on server.

Application/Control Number: 09/337,500 Page 8

Art Unit: 2155

12. Referring to claim 19, Tock does not explicitly teach the invention as claimed; however, Domenikos teaches the request device is remotely located on the network from said plural operational devices and said memory (abstract, figures 1-4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tock and Domenikos to have the request device is remotely located on the network from said plural operational devices and said memory because it would have an efficient communications system that can increase the speed of execution of application remotely and reduce the storing load on server.

13. Referring to claim 20, Tock discloses the invention substantially as claimed, wherein the operational device includes an execution device for executing the requested execution module acquired from said memory (abstract, figures 1, 2, col. 1 lines 40-col. 2 lines 11 col. 3 lines 46-col. 4 lines 44, col. 5 lines 20-25).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/337,500 Page 9

Art Unit: 2155

15. Claim 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tock and Domenikos, and further in view of Snyder et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,161,147.

16. Referring to Claim 2 and 12, Tock and Domenikos disclose a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 1. However, Tock and Domenikos do not disclose wherein the execution device deletes the acquired function execution module after the acquired function execution module has been executed. Snyder et al. teaches a variety of methods for managing deactivation and deletion of objects and server processes. Further, Snyder discloses a timeout criterion where the deletion or an object or processes takes place if the period of time since the last client requested services from the object is greater than a timeout value (Abstract, figures 6, col. 2 lines 15-col. 3 lines 7). The timeout criterion and deletion of the acquired function module, as known in the art, both releases memory and resources thereby improving efficiency and performance of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the dynamic module configuration system as disclosed by Tock and Domenikos to delete the function execution module after execution in order to release resources and improve the efficiency and performance of the system.

Art Unit: 2155

17. Claim 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tock and Domenikos, and further in view of Tso et al. U.S. Patent 6,247,050.

18. Referring to Claim 4 and 14, Tock and Domenikos disclose a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 1. However, Tock and Domenikos do not disclose wherein the memory caches the function execution module acquired by the execution device and provides the function execution module cached in the memory when it is requested to acquire a module, which has a function corresponding to the function execution module cached in the memory, by the execution module. Tso et al. teaches that a server-side cache memory may be used to store both original and transcoded versions of content for later transmission to network client without the need to re-retrieve the content from Internet or to re-transcode the content (abstract, figures 3, 6, col. 4 lines 30-col. 5 lines 7). Since the function execution module, as known in the art, is a program or a function, it can also be stored for later transmission to the network client. Memory caching provides more effective and efficient client-server communication because most programs access the same data or functions repeatedly. By keeping as much of this information as possible in static memory, the computer can avoid accessing the slower dynamic memory. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of dynamic module configuration to

Art Unit: 2155

cache the function execution module because memory caching provides more effective and efficient client-server communication.

- 19. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tock and Domenikos, and further in view of Kimishima U.S. Patent 5,978,846.
- 20. Referring to Claim 7, Tock and Domenikos disclose a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 6 wherein the server includes a communication interface (Figure 1 Item 116), a user interface (Figure 1 Item 114) and a processor (Figure 1 Item 112, Processor read as contents-analyzing module and module-executing module). However, Tock and Domenikos do not disclose a system of dynamic module configuration wherein the server is further composed of a contentsrequest receiving module and module-requesting module. Kimishima discloses a system wherein the communications interface module specifically includes a contents-request receiving module for receiving the contents request from the client (Figure 1 Item 403, Figure 8 Item S401), a module requesting module for requesting a selected function executing module from the module storing server based on an analyzing result by the contents-request analyzing module (Col. 2) lines 45-49), and for receiving the selected function executing module from the module storing, and a module executing module for executing the selected function executing module received by the module requesting module (Col. 6 lines 37-41, Figure 1 Item 402). Tock fails to mention a contents-request

Art Unit: 2155

analyzing module for analyzing the contents request received by the contentsrequest receiving module in order to select one of the plurality of function
executing modules which has a function needed in responding to the contents
request. However, a Java Virtual Machine is deemed to be inherited through the
processing of a Java application disclosed by Tock. Java Virtual Machine's main
job, as well known in the art, is to interpret, analyze and load the needed class
files and execute the bytecodes they contain. It would have been obvious to one
of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further separate
the communications interface into a contents-request receiving module and
module requesting module so that both modules can work simultaneously
thereby allowing quicker and more efficient processing of information.

Page 12

21. Referring to Claim 8, Tock and Domenikos disclose a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 7. However Tock and Domenikos do not disclose a system of dynamic module where in the module storing server is composed of a plurality of modules including the module-request receiving module, module acquiring module, and a module transmitting module. Kimishima discloses a system wherein the module storing server includes a module-request receiving module for receiving a module request from the module requesting module (Figure 1 Item 405), a module acquiring module for acquiring a function executing module out of the plurality of function executing modules based on the module request received by the module-request receiving module (Col. 2 Lines)

and more efficient processing of information.

Art Unit: 2155

45-49), and a module transmitting module for transmitting the function executing module acquired by the module acquiring module to the server (Figure 1 Item 402). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further decompose the module storing server as disclosed by Tock and Domenikos to include a plurality of modules disclosed by Kimishima so that modules can work simultaneously thereby allowing quicker

- 22. Referring to Claim 9, Tock discloses a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 7, wherein the server further includes a module storing module for storing the selected function executing module acquired from the module storing server as many as possible in a resource of the server (Col. 3, lines 14-17).
- 23. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tock and Domenikos as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Kimishima and Tso et al.
- 24. Referring to Claim 10, Tock and Domenikos disclose a system of dynamic module configuration of claim 8. However, Tock and Domenikos do not disclose wherein the module-storing server further includes a module-caching module for caching the selected function-executing module after the selected function-executing module has been sent to the server. Tso et al. teaches that a server-

Art Unit: 2155

side cache memory may be used to store both original and transcoded versions of content for later transmission to network client without the need to re-retrieve the content from Internet or to re-transcode the content (abstract, figure 1, col. 2 lines 17-col. 4 lines 36). Since the function execution module, as known in the art, is a program or a function, it can also be stored for later transmission to the network client. Memory caching provides more effective and efficient client-server communication because most programs access the same data or functions repeatedly. By keeping as much of this information as possible in static memory, the computer can avoid accessing the slower dynamic memory. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the module-storing server to include a module-caching module because memory caching provides more effective and efficient client-server communication.

#### Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Ha Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-7447. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on 703-308-6662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7240 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Art Unit: 2155

Thu Ha Nguyen

September 10, 2003

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER